

* * *

ORDER

Having read and considered the foregoing, the Court finds that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for Failure to State a Claim (Doc. #13) must

1 be GRANTED. Plaintiff's complaint was not served, no Summons was issued and no
2 personal service has been effected. Additionally, the improperly named "City of
3 Henderson Police Department" is not a legally cognizable entity capable of being sued.
4 Additionally, the events giving rise the claims asserted in Plaintiff's complaint
5 allegedly occurred on January 10 and 11, 2007, which is more than two years prior to
6 the commencement of this action. As a result, Plaintiff's suit is barred by the
7 applicable two-year statute of limitations under N.R.S. 11.190(4).

8 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (Doc.
9 #13) is **GRANTED**.

10 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant's Separate Motion to Dismiss
11 (Doc. #6) is **GRANTED**.

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motions to Amend (Doc. #10),
13 To Serve Notice (Doc. #11), To Amend Address (Doc. #12) and To Join Parties (Doc.
14 #17) are **DENIED**.

15 DATED: August 6, 2010.

16
17 

18 PHILIP M. PRO
19 United States District Judge
20
21
22
23
24
25
26